

COURT NAME: U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
CASE NAME: CHRISTOPHER POLANSKY V. N.H. DOC., COMMISSIONER, et al.
CASE NUMBER: 001:12-CV-105 PB

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF N.H.
FILED

EMPHASIZED FOR HIM ~~TO CONTINUE~~ TAKING
BY DR. TABITHA WASHINGTON OF THE DART-
MOUTH HITCHCOCK MEDICAL CENTER'S PAIN
CLINIC UNTIL THE PLAINTIFF'S WOUNDS
HEALED SO THAT HE COULD ULTIMATELY
RECIEVE AN INJECTABLE PAIN BLOCK INTO
HIS COCCYX AREA TO RELIEVE HIM OF THE
BURNING PAIN HE SUFFERS FROM, PLAINTI-
FF HAS RECIEVED 5 (FIVE) DISCIPLINARY
TICKETS ALL RELATED TO THE ADDITION-
AL WOUNDS HE HAS SUFFERED BECAUSE
OF THE INEXCUSABLE NEGLIGENCE OF
THE NURSING AND MEDICAL STAFF, WHI-
CH IS DIRECTLY RELATED TO A PATTERN
OF NEGATIVITY WHICH PLAINTIFF WHOLE-
HEARTEDLY BELIEVES OCCURED IN RETAL-
IATION FOR PLAINTIFF'S FILING OF THIS
LAWSUIT.

3.) PLAINTIFF, WHILE A PATIENT OF
THE R.T.U., WAS TO RECIEVE HIS 2003
MAGNAVOX T.V. AS SOON AS HE RECIE-
VED THE 3 (THREE) 3-FOOT LONG PIECES
OF CABLE AND THE PACKAGE OF CABLE CONNec-
TORS THAT HIS SISTER HAD TO ORDER FOR

COURT NAME : U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
CASE NAME : CHRISTOPHER POLANSKY V. N.H. D.O.C. COMMISSIONER, et al.
CASE NUMBER : 001:12-CV-105 PB

HIM BECAUSE THE PIECE OF CABLE AND CONNECTORS THAT WERE ON THE T.V., WENT MISSING (STOLEN PERHAPS?) AND HE COULD NOT TAKE POSSESSION OF THE T.V. WITHOUT THEM. HE WAS ABRUPTLY MOVED BACK TO THE H.S.C., SEPTEMBER 20TH, AND WAS STILL WAITING FOR THOSE ITEMS TO COME IN WHERE ON OCTOBER 7TH, HE RECEIVED A 5 (FIVE) DAY NOTICE STATING THAT HE HAD TO MAIL OUT AND/OR DESTROY AND/OR HAD TO HAVE SOMEONE PICK UP THOSE ITEMS BECAUSE THE PROPERTY OFFICER CLAIMED THAT THE T.V. THAT THE PLAINTIFF SAID HE OWNED WAS NOT IN THE "CHORIS" SYSTEM AND WITHOUT A T.V., HE COULD NOT PURCHASE OR "OWN" CABLE OR THE CONNECTORS. EVEN THOUGH THE PLAINTIFF CLAIMED THAT HE COULD PROVE THAT HE ACTUALLY DID "OWN" THE T.V. (IT HAD HIS NAME AND I.D. *PRINTED ON THE T.V.) AND THAT THE "CHORIS" PROGRAM DIDN'T BEGIN UNTIL 2007, HIS PROPERTY ^{WAS} DESTROYED (DISPOSED OF HIS CABLE AND CONNECTORS.) AND NOW THAT THE PLAINTIFF IS BACK BEING A C-4 INMATE,

PROPERTY IS GOING TO MAKE THE PLAINTIFF - 5 (FIVE) DAY NOTICE HIS T.V.. SO NOW, THE PLAINTIFF HAS BEEN MADE TO 5 (FIVE) DAY NOTICE HIS T.V., STEREO CASSETTE PLAYER AND ALL OF HIS CASSETTES, HIS RULER, PHOTO ALBUMS, SHAVING SUPPLIES AND - OH, YES... HIS TWEEZERS. A REAL DANGEROUS WEAPON - THERE. AND.. HE CANNOT PURCHASE ANY CANTEEN ITEMS FOR 100 DAYS - , OR CALL HIS FAMILY - , OR HAVE ANY ELECTRONICS - , OR - OR - OR. AND OF COURSE, "NONE" OF THAT IS RETALIATORY.

4.) BESIDES THE STAFF MEMBER IMPLYING THAT MY PURSUIT OF THIS ACTION RESULTING IN CERTAIN UNNAMED INDIVIDUALS SABOTAGING PLAINTIFF'S BID FOR MEDICAL PAROLE (WHICH IT HAS) OR BEING MISTREATED IN RETALIATION FOR FILING THIS ACTION (WHICH I HAVE AND CONTINUE TO BE) PLAINTIFF HAS NO CHOICE BUT TO AMEND HIS COMPLAINT TO INCLUDE A RETALIATION CLAIM.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS THIS HONORABLE COURT TO:

A.) GRANT PLAINTIFF HIS OBJECTION AND TO AMEND HIS COMPLAINT TO INCLUDE A RETALIATION CLAIM.

COURT NAME: U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
COURT CASE: CHRISTOPHER POLANSKY V. N.H. DOC., COMMISSIONER, et al.
CASE NUMBER: 001:12-CV-105 PB

B.) GRANT SUCH OTHER AND FURTHER
RELIEF AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE.

RESPECTFULLY,
Christopher Polansky PRO SE
CHRISTOPHER POLANSKY